

Summary of comments relating to Earlswood and Forshaw Heath made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Environmental Policies

- Full perimeter of Lakes views need protecting (x2)
- ANPR on causeway would help alleviate drug dealing problem
- View from Lake would be impacted by building on allotment site
- Full perimeter of Lakes needs protecting for wildlife, wildflowers, insects, birds, bats amphibians and fish – don't let it become a sterile park
- C&RT is a business but should not impact on residents – can lakes be designated LWS only?
- Ponds and backland areas at the end of Cloweswood Lane need protecting
- Environment important and Lakes need protecting to support local tourism
- Full support of environmental proposals (x2)
- First priority over everything else
- Rare breed of bat in Parish and building more will have a negative impact
- Push from Redditch and Solihull leaving very little green space. Need to protect what we've got and feel sorry for nature
- What is special about the Telephone Exchange on Broad Lane?
- Should owners of properties for special listing be consulted?
- Dickens Heath and Cheswick Green already taken countryside
- If land next to 141 The Common developed, should be for families with affordable housing and traffic made safer for children
- What about road safety and crossings, already huge day to day increase
- Save our woods – trees need protecting from destruction
- Green belt should be protected – already too much traffic with school and each house having two cars – too much traffic

Summary of comments relating to Earlswood and Forshaw Heath made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Earlswood Village map

- Protect views of SSSI along Springbrook parallel to The Common
- Any development of these sites needs a local occupancy covenant
- Junction in Earlswood already hazardous – will become worse if allotment site developed
- Change precedents at junction of Umberslade and Cut Throat Lanes
- Allotment holders oppose development – put lots of work in and don't want to move (x3)
- Restrictive covenants to be put in place on allotments site by trustees to stop this nonsense

- Proposed housing site next to the pub appears to be the least intrusive site for residents, or the green belt;
- Agree with xxxxx (above). Why not incorporating re-developing the Reservoir Pub as a housing site too?;
- Lakes and views need protecting
- Concerned building on field next to garage will open up backland development
- LWS should include pond areas and backland at end of Cloweswood Lane

- We need a stand-up “no parking” sign in the middle of the Lakes as there is always someone parked on there and it makes it very difficult to get across;
- This area (arrow to the PC land on The Common past the old garage at 141) appears to be ripe for housing development. Would be an improvement on the existing area;
- I couldn't agree more... (i.e. developing the land near 141 The Common);

Summary of comments relating to Earlswood and Forshaw Heath made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Housing Policy

- We strongly feel that there are not enough smaller houses for my parents to downsize to, or my children to move to. Would it be possible to develop existing farm buildings to allow this to be resolved?;
- OK about limited housing in Earlswood;
- We have a paddock near the Limes T Junction and we would be interested in developing this into affordable homes. We'd be interested in creating affordable homes to live in;
- I think Cope's should be reconsidered –
 - It would bring more young people into the parish and create safe places to play walk etc (not only for those new residents but also for Juggins Lane).
 - There could be social benefits and integrating the people from Juggins Lane more (mobile homes)
 - It would lead to more public transport for more isolated people.
 - At the moment elderly people are walking on unpaved roads where there is fast traffic.
 - School children at the moment have to wait on the grass verge by fast moving traffic for the school bus.
- Could Copes F/H site be considered as an option?
- Too many property developers and estate agents encouraging growth in property prices to build only big homes or extend existing properties, make a big profit, then sell up after a few years;
- All sites should be restricted to max 10 houses
- Is there a housing need in Earlswood
- Bogus methodology on housing needs scheme
- Parish to release land for self-build for people / families in the Parish
- Infrastructure impact from housing proposals – public transport, surgery, parking schools (x3)
- Who considers traffic on narrow lanes – need speed cameras

Summary of comments relating to Earlswood and Forshaw Heath made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Housing Policy contd

- If to allow people to downsize then proposed housing should be purpose built
- Protect landscape between Earlswood and Broad Lane
- Why offer more land for development with developers already snapping up every square inch
- Need more affordable housing
- Already smaller houses and flats in Dickens Heath etc. (x3)
- Happy to support local housing needs but must be protected for future residents
- Traffic impact of land developments around parish (x4)
- Concern that development at Earlswood Court not transparent
- Protect tranquil areas – worked hard to get here (x2)
- Protect allotments – use land next to 141 The Common
- Support smaller homes for local people as long as covenanted
- Need to protect LWS and concern for bats from future developments
- Antisocial behaviour already a big problem with police resources stretched

Summary of comments relating to Earlswood and Forshaw Heath made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Infrastructure and business policy

- No car parks at The Lakes as people will only use them to sell drugs and drink at night
- Agree on getting rid of requests stops at railway stations (x2)
- Only way to control traffic is to stop building in the Parish
- What does small scale expansion of tourism look like? Not too much and needs definition of small please (x2)
- No where to park at The Lakes – expanding tourism will make parking worse
- Need to address car issues on causeway and prevent late night racers
- No to allotment site for development
- Need to work better with neighbouring local authorities where developments impact the Parish
- Also need traffic survey of Earlswood re crossroads and The Lakes – need to assess junction and car parking and potentially traffic signals on causeway?
- Can't stipulate who buys new houses – can't keep for local people
- Need infrastructure to cope with new families – St Patricks already full
- Traffic calming necessary – gateposts at each settlement with 'welcome to ...' and 'thank you for driving carefully through ...' Signs
- Too late for 'stable door' re traffic survey – opportunity came and went with Doctors Close and garages
- What tourism does Lakes get apart from fishermen?
- Warwickshire needs to update speed limits on rural roads – 50 mph through Forshaw Heath is crazy – traffic calming necessary
- Parish Council need to stop being 'wet lettuces' and protect greenbelt and community

Summary of comments relating to Earlswood and Forshaw Heath made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Infrastructure and business policy contd

- If we want younger people, surely opportunity to start a business, otherwise will work outside village increasing traffic
- What provision is being made to ensure that the proposed housing developments also have parking facilities? The village is too busy with cars;
- Earlswood Lakes needs an upgrade. We now walk our dogs at Wythall Park as it feels safe/friendly and more dog poop bins;
- I have reservations in capping biz sites. It would be great to create more digital/remote working businesses to encourage diversity of residents;
- Remove request stop status at train stations (x4)
- Broadband – continues to be a real issue. We run a small business and employ locally & the broadband is prohibitive (*postcode supplied*) + mobile phone reception also poor;
- Road maintenance is very poor. There are many cyclists and pedestrians on dangerous roads. Traffic speed on Forshaw Heath Lane should be controlled;
- Traffic Speed – Forshaw Heath Lane traffic is too fast. There are elderly people on the road and the school bus stop where children have to wait on the verge (mud, wet);
- I would very much support traffic calming;
- Traffic at Valley Road /the Common junction is increasing with the ever growing Dickens Heath development. Roads are in poor condition. Speeding, especially through the junction, is an issue;

Summary of comments relating to Tanworth and Wood End made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Environmental Policies

- No to spoiling the views to Butts Lane;
- The views to and from our village school and church must be protected at all costs;
- And to make these more accessible & interesting for local young families;
- Full support of environmental proposals (x2)
- First priority over everything else
- Rare breed of bat in Parish and building more will have a negative impact
- Push from Redditch and Solihull leaving very little green space. Need to protect what we've got and feel sorry for nature
- What is special about the Telephone Exchange on Broad Lane?
- Should owners of properties for special listing be consulted?
- Green belt should be protected – already too much traffic with school and each house having two cars – too much traffic on Butts Lane
- What about road safety and crossings, already huge day to day increase
- Save our woods – trees need protecting from destruction

Summary of comments relating to Tanworth and Wood End made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Wood End Village Map

- Development in green belt, developer on housing committee of NDP
- Why propose building on potential LWS
- Did the junction handle far more vehicles before M42 cut Malthouse Lane from Broad Lane?
- Lane too small for an excess of traffic onto Broad Lane, resulting in Broad Lane getting busier
- The Parish needs more affordable housing and Wood End site best of those proposed
- Broad Lane and The Common getting busier causing long tail backs at junction – no more traffic please

Tanworth Village Map

- Surely the protected view here should be extended to the end – the whole should be protected (*view at the top of Vicarage Hill*);
- I would agree with the Butts Lane proposed development on this plan but no more and certainly not behind the church

Summary of comments relating to Tanworth and Wood End made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Housing Policy

- All sites should be restricted to max 10 houses
- Is there a housing need in Earlswood
- Bogus methodology on housing needs scheme
- Parish to release land for self-build for people / families in the Parish
- Infrastructure impact from housing proposals – public transport, surgery, parking schools (x3)
- Who considers traffic on narrow lanes – need speed cameras
- Why are sites in Tanworth building large 3 x six bed houses rather than smaller ones (x2)
- If to allow people to downsize then proposed housing should be purpose built
- Protect landscape between Earlswood and Broad Lane
- Why offer more land for development with developers already snapping up every square inch
- Need more affordable housing
- Already smaller houses and flats in Dickens Heath etc. (x3)
- Happy to support local housing needs but must be protected for future residents
- Traffic impact of land developments around parish (x4)
- Protect tranquil areas – worked hard to get here (x2)
- Support smaller homes for local people as long as covenanted
- Need to protect LWS and concern for bats from future developments
- You need small houses

Summary of comments relating to Tanworth and Wood End made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Housing Policy contd.

- If any development is truly necessary...bearing in mind the urban sprawl from Blythe Valley, Dickens Heath, Cheswick Green, etc, it should be restricted to small developments i.e. 5 or less, protecting Green Belt and open countryside and views that make our area so desirable;
- I am in favour of lots of small houses (affordable)
- No more developments near village centre. Butts Lane is a non-starter as already serves school and Umberslade Children's Farm. It will also spoil view from school/church;
- Build more houses on Cank Farm – entrance at the bottom of the hill (where the chicken houses are). There is plenty of room there for many houses;
- Has there been consideration made to provide housing at the lower land of Cank farm...where the chicken sheds are. If Cank Farm has had permission granted for 18 houses would this not be a good idea to link up the 2 areas?;
- Please, no more than 2 houses in Butts Lane. Keep the village centre free of more development – once gone its gone!!
- Housing in Butts Lane seems very sensible given school location;
- Absolutely no development on Butts Lane!! A developer has bought all the land at Butts Lane – No houses. No car parking needed
- No to Butts Lane, No to [building in the] green belt
- No more development on Butts Lane, Cank farm should be enough
- There is possibly scope for 1 or 2 small houses on the plot next to 1 Leasowes Cottages, Butts Lane. This is currently the site of a dilapidated block of 4 garages no longer required

Summary of comments relating to Tanworth and Wood End made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Housing Policy contd.

- Housing development on Butts Lane is a terrible idea. This Land has just been purchased by a developer who, if this site gets into the NDP, will not stop until they can build a lot more than 4/5 small houses. We already have permission for 6 small houses at Cank Farm – that is enough
- Butts Lane site seems acceptable but more smaller properties on Cank farm would be ideal – near school and village centre.
- Risk for Tanworth – without properties available for downsizers we run the risk of Tanworth becoming a village populated by millionaires in large 4-5 bedroom houses who are working people who do not get involved in community activities
- Downsizing – Trying to move from Aspley Heath Lane to a smaller property in the village or within walking distance. Housing requirement in village – 3 bedrooms, garage and drive – appropriate price 600-650k – nothing available at this price;
- I think the land behind the Warwickshire Lad would be the best site as it's a great access;
- The land near Warwickshire Lad is possibly the best option
- Don't think Wood End Lane site suitable, however subject to access and number of properties put on there. No houses at the moment to downsize to
- The site at Wood End Lane will not have sufficient access. It is difficult enough to get out of the lane for the school run. The turning at top of Wood End Lane has a blind spot
- Concerned about site at Wood End Lane – road is single file with limited scope to improve vehicle access. A development of 10 houses created a larger amount of traffic trying to access/leave the junction which is problematic already. Also significant wildlife in lane – deer, badgers and birds of prey

Summary of comments relating to Tanworth and Wood End made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Infrastructure and business policy

- What provision is being made to ensure that the proposed housing developments also have parking facilities? The village is too busy with cars;
- I have reservations in capping biz sites. It would be great to create more digital/remote working businesses to encourage diversity of residents;
- Remove request stop status at train stations (x4)
- Broadband – continues to be a real issue. We run a small business and employ locally & the broadband is prohibitive (*postcode supplied*) + mobile phone reception also poor;
- Road maintenance is very poor. There are many cyclists and pedestrians on dangerous roads. Traffic speed on Forshaw Heath Lane should be controlled;
- Traffic Speed – Forshaw Heath Lane traffic is too fast. There are elderly people on the road and the school bus stop where children have to wait on the verge (mud, wet);
- I would very much support traffic calming;
- A restriction on all heavy goods vehicles on all roads into the village would be the best possible traffic calming measure
- We strongly think there should always be a view from the churchyard across towards the railway (All as shown on plan)
- Plot to be built on The Butts is half a plot – when the proposed half has been built upon, the potential to develop the remaining half is increased

Summary of comments relating to Tanworth and Wood End made at NDP Consultation meetings on 5th and 14th May

Infrastructure and business policy contd

- Straightening the school drive will increase vehicular speed – most vehicles using it are 4x4 and unaffected by speed bumps
- The Village centre is all too often congested. Some form of traffic control is essential;
- Need to work better with neighbouring local authorities where developments impact the Parish
- Can't stipulate who buys new houses – can't keep for local people
- Need infrastructure to cope with new families
- Traffic calming necessary – gateposts at each settlement with 'welcome to ...' and 'thank you for driving carefully through ...' Signs
- Too late for 'stable door' re traffic survey – opportunity came and went with Doctors Close and garages
- Warwickshire needs to update speed limits on rural roads – 50 mph through Forshaw Heath is crazy – traffic calming necessary
- Parish Council need to stop being 'wet lettuces' and protect greenbelt and community
- If we want younger people, surely opportunity to start a business, otherwise will work outside village increasing traffic