



Neighbourhood Development Plan Consultation - Questionnaire

To help the Parish Council understand residents' views on the matters raised in the NDP Consultation be grateful if you could complete the following questionnaire and add any other comments you may have.

Proposal	Agree strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree strongly
Environment					
Proposal 1 – Landscape (Page 5 NDP) The Views shown on Plans A, B and C should be protected from the impact of development					
Proposal 2 - Natural Environment (Page 5 NDP) The wildlife sites shown on Plans A, B and C should be protected as appropriate					
Proposal 3 - Historic Environment (Page 5 NDP) The heritage buildings listed in appendix C should be subject to added 'listed' protection					
Proposal 4 - Design Guide (Page 5 NDP) The NDP should include a design guide to ensure all future development maintains the current character of the Parish					

	Agree strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree strongly
Housing					
Proposal 5 – Housing (Page 7 NDP) There is a local housing need for need for more affordable homes, especially for families and those looking to downsize and therefore any specific sites identified for housing should only be for that purposes					
Proposal 6 - Housing Sites (Page 7 NDP) Which, if any, of the following sites should be considered further to meet local housing needs:					
Site A – The Common, Earlswood					
Site B – Broad Lane, Wood End					
Site C – Butts Lane, Tanworth					
Proposal 7 - Other Housing Development (Page 7 NDP) Apart from the specific sites identified – development of any other individual site should be strictly in line with Green Belt policy and the design statement.					

	Agree strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree strongly
Business and Infrastructure					
Proposal 8 - Local Economy (Page 9 NDP) No new sites for business development will be proposed but appropriate small-scale expansion or conversion of existing buildings for local business need should be supported					
Proposal 9 - Broadband and Telephony (Page 9 NDP) The appropriate siting and installation of infrastructure to improve services should be supported					
Proposal 10 – Tourism (Page 10 NDP) Small scale refurbishment and expansion of building involved in tourism and hence support local businesses, amenities and services should be considered					
Proposal 11 - Earlswood lakes (Page 10 NDP) No new development around the Lakes or changes detrimental to the character of the site itself would be supported					

Proposal	Agree strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree strongly
Proposal 12 - Parking at Stations (Page 10 NDP) Modest improvements to car parking at the stations in the Parish should be supported, particularly where part of wider development of an adjoining site					

	Agree strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree strongly
Overall, I would support the adoption of an NDP that includes the proposals within the consultation document					

Comment on any specific proposal or suggest other proposals or sites suitable for a local housing need:

	Agree strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree strongly
Proposed Parish Council Actions There are a number of steps the Parish Council could take to support the aims of the proposals set out above. Do you agree with each of the following?					
Promote a possible Local Housing Needs scheme on one of the specified sites					
Work with the District Council and providers to improve mobile and broadband					
Promote one or more stations in the Parish to be adopted by the local community to potentially achieve a better service					
Undertake a study to look at parking and traffic flow through Tanworth					
To influence planning development at the boundary of the Parish to minimise impact of additional traffic on our roads					

Additional Comments on Parish Council Actions:

Name:	<i>Optional</i>	Address:	
-------	-----------------	----------	--